

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 1
(Electronic Format)**I. GENERAL INFORMATION**District: Santa Barbara County APCDRule No(s): 102, 105, 202, 204, 809 Date adopted/Amended/Rescinded: August 25, 2016

Rule Title(s):

Rule 102, Definitions;Rule 105, Applicability;Rule 202, Exemptions to Rule 201Rule 204, Applications;Rule 809, Federal Minor Source New Source ReviewDate Submitted to ARB: September 1, 2016

If an Amended Rule, Date Last Amended (or Adopted):

Rule 102, Definitions last amended 6/21/2012;Rule 105, Applicability last amended 7/30/1991;Rule 202, Exemptions to Rule 201 last amended 6/21/2012;Rule 204, Applications last amended 4/17/1997Rule 809, Federal Minor Source New Source Review N/A - newly adoptedIs the Rule Intended to be Sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If No, do not complete remainder of form)District Contact: Timothy Mitro Phone Number: 805-961-8883 E-mail Address: mitrot@sbcapcd.orgNarrative Summary of New Rule or Rule Changes: ☒ New Rule ☒ Amended RuleThe District adopted Rule 809 to address the EPA requirement to have a fully approvable Minor Source NSR Program.The amendments to Rules 102, 105, 202, and 204 occurred since the District updated it's other NSR rules [Rules 801-806] at the same time. Rules 801-806 are not being submitted to the SIP because the District attains the federal NAAQS.Pollutant(s) Regulated by the Rule (Check): ☒ ROG ☒ (NO_x) ☒ SO₂
☒ (CO) ☒ PM ☐ TAC (name): _____**II. EFFECT ON EMISSIONS***Complete this section ONLY for rules that, when implemented, will result in quantifiable changes in emissions. Attach reference(s) for emission factor(s) and other information. Attach calculation sheet showing how the emission information provided below was determined.*Net Effect on Emissions: ☐ Increase ☐ Decrease ☒ N/A

Emission Reduction Commitment in SIP for this Source Category: _____

Inventory Year Used to Calculate Changes in Emissions: _____ Area Affected: _____

Future Year Control Profile Estimate (Provide information on as many years as possible):

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 2
(Electronic Format)

Baseline Inventory in the SIP for the Control Measure: _____

Emissions Reduction Commitment in the SIP for the Control Measure: _____

Revised Baseline Inventory (if any): _____

Revised Emission Reduction Estimate (if developed): _____

Note that the district's input to the Rule Evaluation Form will not be used as input to the ARB's emission forecasting and planning.

III. SOURCES/ATTAINMENT STATUSDistrict is: ☐ Attainment ☒ Nonattainment ☐ SplitApproximate Total Number of Small (<100 TPY) Sources Affected by this Amendment: 600Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Number of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled: 15 Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%

Name(s) and Location(s) (city and county) of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled by Rule *(Attach additional sheets as necessary)*: Venoco - Carpinteria; Venoco - Ellwood; E & B - South Cuyama; The Point Arguello Project
ExxonMobil - SYU Project; Imerys Minerals California, Inc; Greka South Cat Canyon;
Pacific Coast Energy Company- Orcutt Hill; UCSB; County of SB-Tajiguas Landfill;
Pt. Pedernales/Lompoc Oil Fields; So Cal Gas - La Goleta; Pacific Operators - Carpinteria;
Dos Cuadras - South County

Please view the District's website for more information: <https://www.ourair.org/title-v-permits/>**IV. EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGY**Does the Rule Include Emission Limits that are Continuous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, Those Limits are in Section(s) _____ of the Rule.

Other Methods in the Rule for Achieving Emission Reductions are: _____

V. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Rule Contains:

Emission Limits in Section(s): _____ Work Practice Standards in Section(s): _____

Recordkeeping Requirements in Section(s): _____ Reporting Requirements in Section(s): _____

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 3
(Electronic Format)

VI. IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY PLAN

☒ No Impact ☐ Impacts RFP ☐ Impacts attainment

Discussion: _____